

Peer Review Plan

Title of Review: Study of Nutrition and Activity in Child Care Settings II **Influential Scientific Information**

Agency: FNS **Highly Influential Scientific Assessment**

Agency Contact: Melissa Abelev

Subject of Review: Study of Nutrition and Activity in Child Care Settings II

Purpose of Review: FNS will seek scientific peer review for the subject study.

Type of Review: Panel Review Individual Reviewers
 Alternative Process (Briefly Explain):
At least four individual manuscripts based on analysis of study data will be submitted to scientific journals and receive peer review through the journal peer review process.

Timing of Review (Est.): Start: 2024 End: 2025 Completed: 2026

Number of Reviewers: 3 or fewer 4 to 10 More than 10

Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review: There are three areas of expertise needed: (1) research methodology and statistical analysis; (2) knowledge of subject FNS programs; and (3) expertise in child care and nutrition for young children.

Reviewers selected by: Agency Designated Outside Organization
Organization's Name: The scientific journals to which the manuscripts are submitted

Opportunities for Public Comment? Yes No

If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided:
How: _____
When: _____

Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? Yes No

Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel? Yes No

Other: _____



The reviewers will be charged with two tasks as follows:

1. Reviewers will be requested to determine if (i) the data collection as implemented was appropriate, (ii) whether the analyses as carried out reflect the original plans and (iii) whether the analyses are appropriate given the actual implementation of sampling and data collection.
2. Reviewers will be charged with evaluating the clarity of hypotheses, the robustness of the methods employed to address the hypotheses, the appropriateness of the methods for the hypotheses being tested, the extent to which the conclusions follow from the analysis, and the strengths and limitations of the overall conclusions. The peer reviewers will be requested, as appropriate, to suggest ways to clarify assumptions, findings, and conclusions; identify oversights, omissions, and inconsistencies; and, if needed, encourage authors to more fully acknowledge limitations and uncertainties.