

USDA Farm to School Program

FY 2013 – FY 2018 Summary of Grant Awards

ABOUT THIS REPORT

This report summarizes findings from an analysis of select data from the 437 farm to school projects funded by USDA's Farm to School Grant Program during fiscal years (FY) 2013 - 2018. This analysis summarizes the ways in which the USDA Farm to School Grant Program helps diverse organizations around the country improve access to local foods through school meal programs.

This report is comprised of three sections: (1) executive summary, (2) overview of the USDA Farm to School Grant Program, and (3) appendices. The overview of the grant program includes a short introduction, information about grant project request and award amounts, information about grantee activities, and conclusions.

For more information about the data presented in this report, please contact the Office of Community Food Systems at farmtoschool@fns.usda.gov. This report was last updated on June 21, 2018.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	6
THE USDA FARM TO SCHOOL GRANT PROGRAM	8
Introduction	8
Grant Requests and Awards	9
Amounts and Types	9
Geographic Distribution	13
Grantee Activities	16
Local Food Procurement	16
Local Food Processing and Preparation	18
Agriculture, Food, and Nutrition-based Education	18
School Gardens	19
Conclusions	20
APPENDIX A. ADDITIONAL DATA TABLES	Error! Bookmark not defined.
APPENDIX B. TRAINING GRANTS	40
APPENDIX C. VOLUNTEER SERVICE GRANTS	42
APPENDIX D. LIST OF ALL GRANTEES	43

List of Figures and Tables

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	6
THE USDA FARM TO SCHOOL GRANT PROGRAM	8
Introduction	8
Grant Requests and Awards	9
Amounts and Types	9
Figure 1. Dollars requested and awarded by grant type in FY 2013 – FY 2018	9
Figure 2. Applications received and awarded by grant type in FY 2013 – FY 2018	10
Figure 3. Grant requests and awards by applicant type in FY 2013 – FY 2018	12
Geographic Distribution	13
Figure 4. Distribution of grant awards (N = 437) by FNS region in FY 2013 – FY 2018	13
Figure 5. Distribution of U.S. public school students at schools of different free or reduced-price meal eligibility rates and corresponding distribution of grant awards	15
Grantee Activities	16
Local Food Procurement	16
Table 1. Planned local food procurement activities FY 2013 – FY 2018	16
Local Food Processing and Preparation	18
Table 2. Planned local food processing and preparation activities FY 2013 – 2018	18
Agriculture, Food, and Nutrition-based Education	18
Table 3. Planned agriculture, food, and nutrition-based education activities FY 2013 – FY 2018	18
School Gardens	19
Table 4. Planned school gardens activities FY 2013 – FY 2018	19
Table 5. Planned partnerships and outreach activities FY 2013 – FY 2018	19
Table 6. Planned program evaluation activities FY 2013 – FY 2018	20
Conclusions	20

APPENDIX A. ADDITIONAL DATA TABLES	22
Table A1. Number of grant requests and awards by grant type for FY 2013 – FY 2018	22
Table A2. Dollar amount of grant requests and awards by grant type for FY 2013 – FY 2018	22
Table A3. Grant requests and awards by applicant type for FY 2013 – FY 2018	22
Table A4. Percent of rural and urban schools or districts impacted by FY 2013 - FY 2018 grant projects NEEDS DOUBLE CHECK	23
Table A5. Approximate number of schools involved and students reached for FY 2013 - FY 2018	23
Table A6. Grant projects by free and reduced price meal program eligibility rates for FY 2013 - FY 2018 *	23
Table A7. State-by-state breakdown of grant requests and awards for FY 2013 - FY 2018	24
Table A8. State-by-state breakdown of grant requests and awards for cumulative FY 2013 - FY 2018	26
Table A9. Details of funding by state and region for FY 2013 - 2018	28
Table A10. Summary of funding by state and region for cumulative FY 2013 - 2018	31
Table A11. Details of awards by grant type by state FY 2013 – FY 2018	33
Table A13. Details of awards by grant type by region FY 2013 – FY 2018	35
Table A14. Details of requests and awards by entity by state FY 2013 – FY 2018	36
Table A15. Summary of awards by entity FY 2013 – FY 2018	38
Table A16. Summary of awards by entity by region FY 2013 – FY 2018	38
Table A17. Repeat grantees FY 2013 – FY 2018 (N=49)	39
APPENDIX B. TRAINING GRANTS	40
Table B1. Training grant requests and awards FY 2015 – FY 2018	40
APPENDIX C. VOLUNTEER SERVICE GRANTS	42
Table C1. Volunteer service grant requests and awards FY 2016	42
APPENDIX D. LIST OF ALL GRANTEES	43
Table D1. All awarded grantees FY 2013 – FY 2018	43

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The term “farm to school” is generally understood to encompass efforts that bring local or regionally produced foods into school cafeterias; hands-on learning activities such as school gardening, farm visits, and culinary classes; and the integration of food-related education into the regular, standards-based classroom curriculum. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) supports such efforts through its Farm to School Program coordinated by USDA’s Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) [Office of Community Food Systems](#) (OCFS).

Section 243 of the Healthy Hunger-Free Kids Act (HHFKA) of 2010 tasked USDA with improving schools’ access to local foods through grants and technical assistance. Among other activities, USDA awards up to \$5 million on an annual basis in competitive farm to school grants for program planning, implementation, and support activities that may include training, partnership development, equipment purchases, and development and maintenance of school gardens.

In FY 2013 – FY 2018:

- 141.8 million was requested on 1,928 applications.
- 437 projects were awarded a total of \$30.5 million.
- 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands received at least one award.
- 49 percent of awards were made to schools and school districts.
- 78 percent of all awards support schools or school districts with free or reduced price meal eligibility rates greater than 50 percent.

Funded projects are estimated to reach approximately 33,600 schools and involve an estimated 15.3 million students in farm to school activities¹.

Major grantee activities include:

- Procuring local foods for school meal programs.
- Training and networking for food service staff, teachers, and farmers on diverse topics including local procurement, food safety, culinary education, and integration of nutrition and agriculture-based curriculum.
- Purchasing equipment to support the additional food processing, preparation, and storage needed to handle local and regional foods.
- Delivering hands-on experiential education, in particular through school gardens, aimed at enhancing student knowledge, skills, and attitudes related to agriculture, food, and nutrition.
- Developing partnerships, communication networks, and outreach materials.
- Completing project evaluations to measure change and document outcomes and impacts.

¹ The school and student numbers are based on self-reported data of grantees. The total numbers of schools and students that a grantee expected to contact in some way with their programming were used to estimate the reach of the program.

THE USDA FARM TO SCHOOL GRANT PROGRAM

Introduction

The purpose of the USDA Farm to School Grant Program is to assist eligible entities in implementing farm to school programs that improve access to local foods in eligible schools. On an annual basis, USDA awards up to \$5 million in competitive grants for program planning, implementation, and support activities that may include training, partnership development, equipment purchases, and development and maintenance of school gardens. ²

In FY 2013, planning and implementation grants were offered to eligible entities. In FY 2014, support service grants were introduced, and in FY 2015, training grants were made available using additional, discretionary program funds from the USDA Farm to School Program. In FY 2016 and FY 2017, training grants, which were very well received in FY 2015, continued with grant program funding. These four grant tracks are described below.

- (1) **Planning grants** are intended for schools or school districts just starting to incorporate farm to school program elements into their operations.
- (2) **Implementation grants** are intended for schools or school districts to help expand or further develop existing farm to school initiatives.
- (3) **Support Service grants** are intended for state and local agencies, Indian tribal organizations, agricultural producers or groups of agricultural producers, and non-profit entities working with schools or districts to further develop existing farm to school initiatives and to provide broad reaching support services to farm to school initiatives. ³
- (4) **Training grants** are open to the same entities as support service grants and are used to disseminate best practices and spread strategies known to succeed. ⁴

² In FY 2015, USDA awarded slightly more than \$5 million in grants using additional discretionary program funds.

³ In FY 2016, USDA awarded \$495,211 in grant funds towards volunteer service awards. Additional details about these projects are provided in Appendix C. The Support Service grant track was combined with the Implementation track for FY 2018.


⁴ Additional details about this grant track are provided in Appendix B.

Grant Requests and Awards

Amounts and Types

From FY 2013 – FY 2018, grant program applicants requested approximately \$141.8 million in funding and were awarded \$30.5 million. Award amounts ranged from \$14,581 to \$100,000, with an average award amount of \$69,690. Grant awards supported no more than 75 percent of the total costs of each project. Figure 1 shows the total amount of requested and awarded funds by grant type.⁵

Figure 1. Dollars requested and awarded by grant type in FY 2013 – FY 2018




From FY 2013 – FY 2018, a total of 1,928 applications were received and 437 applications were funded for an overall award rate of 23 percent. As shown in Figure 2, 548 applications were received for planning grants and 133 were awarded funds. Additionally, 707 applications for

⁵ Additional data about the number of grants and dollars requested and awarded by grant type is available in Table A1 and Table A2 of Appendix A.
USDA Farm to School Program FY 2013 – FY 2018 Summary of Grant Awards

implementation grants were received and 143 were awarded funds. Furthermore, 526 applications for support service grants and 147 applications for training grants were received and 104 and 57 applications were awarded funds, respectively.

Award rates by grant type vary. From FY 2013 – FY 2018, 24 percent of all planning applications have been awarded funds while 20 percent of all implementation applications have been awarded funds.⁶

Figure 2. Applications received and awarded by grant type in FY 2013 – FY 2018⁷



From FY 2013 – FY 2018, entities in 43 states and the District of Columbia have been awarded planning grants; entities in 43 states, Guam, and the District of Columbia have been awarded implementation grants; and entities in 39 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico have

⁶ Additional data about number of grants requested and awarded by grant type is available in Table A1 of Appendix A.

⁷ In FY 2013, support service grants were classified as “implementation other” and are included as implementation grants in this figure.

been awarded support service grants.⁸ In addition, entities in 37 states and the U.S. Virgin Islands have received training grant awards and there have been 49 repeat grantees, including 24 grantees that have been awarded both planning and implementation grants.⁹

Organizations Applying for Grants

USDA Farm to School Grant Program funds are available to eligible schools, state and local agencies, Indian tribal organizations, agricultural producers, non-profit entities and higher education organizations.¹⁰ The numbers of these groups requesting and receiving awards are shown below in Figure 3. Schools applied for and received the highest number of awards (216 grants), followed by non-profit entities (116 grants).

Award rates by applicant type vary. From FY 2013 – FY 2018, universities, colleges, and cooperative extensions have had the highest award rate at 50 percent, followed by state agencies with an award rate of 42 percent, while schools or school districts have an award rate of 24 percent.¹¹


⁸ Additional data including a state by state breakdown of awards by type is in Tables A11 and A12 of Appendix A.

⁹ Additional data on repeat grantees may be seen Table A17 of Appendix A.

¹⁰ Higher education organizations were only eligible for training awards offered in FY 2015.

¹¹ Additional data about numbers of grant requests and awards by entity type are available in Table A3 of Appendix A.

Figure 3. Grant requests and awards by applicant type in FY 2013 – FY 2018⁹




From FY 2013 – FY 2018, 46 states and the District of Columbia have had schools or school districts receive a grant award; 41 states and the District of Columbia have had non-profit organizations awarded a grant; and 37 states, Guam, the Virgin Islands of the U.S., and the District of Columbia have had state agencies awarded grants.¹²

¹² Additional data including a state by state breakdown of awards by entity type are available in Tables A14 and A15 of Appendix A.
USDA Farm to School Program FY 2013 – FY 2018 Summary of Grant Awards

Geographic Distribution

Figure 4 shows the distribution of grant awards by FNS region.¹³ Organizations in the Western region applied for and received the highest number of grants (95 grants or 22 percent of the total number of awards). Organizations in the Southeast region received the second highest number of grants (68 grants or 16 percent of total awards) followed by the Northeast region (67 grants or 15 percent of total awards).

Figure 4. Distribution of grant awards (N = 437) by FNS region in FY 2013 – FY 2018



From FY 2013 - FY 2018, 37 percent of the schools or districts impacted by a USDA Farm to School grant were considered rural and 63 percent were considered urban at the time of the application.¹⁴

¹³ A state-by-state breakdown and additional details of regional distribution of awards are available in Appendix A.

¹⁴ Twelve percent of the schools or districts impacted by a FY 2013 – FY 2018 grant project did not provide this data to USDA. A year by year summary is available in Table A4 of the Appendix.

Students Served

Funded projects are estimated to have reach approximately 33,600 schools and involve an estimated 15.3 million students in farm to school activities.

The grant program prioritizes applicants that serve a high percentage of students eligible for free or reduced-price meals. Figure 5 compares the distribution of U.S. students at schools of different free and reduced-price meal eligibility rates to the corresponding percentage of USDA Farm to School grants serving schools at those eligibility levels.¹⁵


Based on available data from grantees (347 of 437 grant projects), the majority of grant awards (271 or 78 percent) went to support schools or school districts with free or reduced-price meal eligibility rates greater than 50 percent. As shown in Figure 5, by comparison 52 percent of U.S. public school students attend schools with eligibility rates greater than 50 percent. Approximately 9.2 million (60 percent) of the 15.3 million students impacted by these grant awards are eligible for free or reduced-price meals.^{16, 17}

¹⁵ U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data (CCD), “Public Elementary/Secondary School Universe Survey.” See *Digest of Education Statistics*, table 216.60. Fall 2014.

¹⁶ A summary detailing the number and percent of grant projects by free or reduced price meal eligibility rates can be found in Table A6 of Appendix A.

¹⁷ Data about students eligible for free or reduced price meals is not available from all 385 school based projects because 40 projects were unable to provide this type of information.

Figure 5. Distribution of U.S. public school students at schools of different free or reduced-price meal eligibility rates and corresponding distribution of grant awards



Grantee Activities

Farm to school programs can include many different types of activities. As noted previously, USDA Farm to School Grant Program funds support both the planning and implementation of farm to school programs by schools and districts, and also provide support for these activities from external organizations.

Planning often includes convening a farm to school team; creating a program vision and goals; establishing procurement plans; developing new menu options; training staff; preparing kitchens; and building community awareness. Many planning grantees will both plan and begin to implement their programs during the course of their funded project.

The implementation of farm to school programs often includes food procurement, processing, and preparation; developing supply chain solutions; communication and outreach efforts; program administration; delivery of curriculum activities; building and maintaining gardens; providing training; purchasing equipment; and many other activities.

Local Food Procurement

Finding, buying, and serving local foods is a central activity of farm to school programming. Table 1 lists proposed local procurement activities.

Table 1. Planned local food procurement activities FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Percent of Grantees Planning This Activity
Training specific to the procurement of local and regional foods	48%
Work on distribution solutions (e.g., food hubs, partnerships with distributors)	31%
Training for farmers, food service personnel, and garden coordinators about food safety, food handling, and good agricultural practices (GAP)	32%
Develop aggregated supply approaches (e.g., farmer cooperatives, product aggregation solutions)	18%
Develop aggregated buying approaches (e.g., school based cooperatives)	18%
Purchasing agriculture production supplies (<\$5,000 a unit)	13%
Purchasing food processing, manufacturing, or distributing equipment (>\$5,000 a unit)	8%

Note: Numbers in Table 1-6 are based on responses from 385 grantees for whom this information was available

Local Food Processing and Preparation

Processing, storing, preparing and serving fresh, local foods often requires new cafeteria infrastructure, new menu items, and staff training. Proposed processing and preparation activities are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Planned local food processing and preparation activities FY 2013 – 2018

	Percent of Grantees Planning This Activity
Develop new products for menus	50%
Training for food service staff such as menu planning, and culinary skills	44%
Purchasing school kitchen supplies (<\$5,000 a unit)	34%
Value-added approaches (e.g., canning, storing, freezing)	23%
Purchasing school kitchen equipment (>\$5,000 a unit)	16%
Acquire salad bars	8%

Agriculture, Food, and Nutrition-based Education

A large percentage of grantees included activities related to the educational aspect of farm to school programming. Proposed agriculture, food, and nutrition-based education activities are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Planned agriculture, food, and nutrition-based education activities FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Percent of Grantees Planning This Activity
Experiential learning activities (e.g., field trips, cooking, taste tests)	68%
Curriculum development	55%
Purchase classroom materials to support instruction	40%
Teacher training	35%
Parental involvement activities	14%

School Gardens

School gardens are a natural fit for farm to school programs as they provide a nearby, hands-on learning laboratory. Proposed school garden activities are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Planned school gardens activities FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Percent of Grantees Planning This Activity
Enhancements to existing garden programs	51%
Purchase garden supplies and/or equipment	35%
Start new garden programs	30%
Install hoop houses or greenhouses	12%

Partnerships and Outreach Activities

Diverse partnerships between the many school and community-based stakeholders (i.e. school faculty and staff, parents, farmers, distributors, food service companies, and policy makers) are a key component of program success and sustainability. Recognizing this, USDA requires that applicants for implementation and support service grants demonstrate proof of existing partnerships. For planning grants, identifying partners and solidifying partnerships during the funding cycle is a required key component.

Communication and outreach are also critical program elements needed to build the less formal partnerships with the larger community including parents, local businesses, community members, and other important stakeholders. Proposed partnership and outreach activities are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Planned partnerships and outreach activities FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Percent of Grantees Planning This Activity
Strengthen existing partnerships	86%
Other types of outreach and communication (e.g., events, media)	69%

	Percent of Grantees Planning This Activity
Develop new partnerships	66%

Program Evaluation

All grantees are required to conduct evaluations and report data about the processes, outputs, and outcomes of their work. Some conduct their own evaluation and others hire external evaluators. Grantees proposed to measure a variety of outcomes including changes in children's attitudes, knowledge or behavior related to food; levels of community engagement; economic effects on producers; changes in children's health; and policy changes. Proposed program evaluation activities are shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Planned program evaluation activities FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Percent of Grantees Planning This Activity
Use quantitative methods such as student surveys, waste audits, and procurement data analysis	87%
Use qualitative methods such as interviews and/or focus groups	71%

Conclusions

Analysis of the 437 funded proposals from the first six years of grant making suggests all farm to school activities described in HHFKA are being implemented through a wide variety of approaches by diverse grantee organizations. Schools and school districts have received the most grant awards followed by non-profits and state agencies. USDA has awarded the most number of implementation grants (n=143) followed by planning grants (n=133) and support service grants (n=104). In pursuit of regional balance, grant awards have been made in all 50 states, Guam, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Award rates (ratio of grant requests to awards) by FNS region vary from 20 to 30 percent.

Grantees planned many strategies for achieving the goal of increasing access to local foods in schools. For example, 50 percent of projects included expansion of menu options; 44 percent included training to help schools and districts procure local and regional foods; and 45 percent included training for food service staff about menu planning, meal preparation, and cooking with local and regional foods.

Grant projects also served a high proportion of children who are eligible for free or reduced-price lunch. The overwhelming majority of grant awards (78 percent) went to schools or school districts with free or reduced-price meal eligibility rates greater than 50 percent. Sixty-eight

percent of grantees planned to incorporate experiential nutrition education activities that encourage children's participation in farm and garden-based agricultural education activities.

Strong partnership data (86 percent planned to strengthen existing partnerships and 66 percent planned to develop new partnerships) suggest the potential for widespread collaboration between eligible schools, non-governmental and community-based organizations, agricultural producer groups, and other community partners.

Lastly, the ability to demonstrate the outcomes of these efforts is enhanced through grantee evaluation activities with 87 percent using quantitative methods and 71 percent using qualitative methods to assess their programs.

APPENDIX A. ADDITIONAL DATA TABLES

Table A1. Number of grant requests and awards by grant type for FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Number of Requests	Number Awarded	Percent Awarded by Type	Percent of Total Grants Awarded
Implementation	707	143	20%	33%
Planning	548	133	24%	30%
Support Service	526	104	20%	24%
Training	147	57	39%	13%
Total	1,928	437	23%	100%

Table A2. Dollar amount of grant requests and awards by grant type for FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Amount Requested	Amount Awarded	Percent of Dollars Awarded by Type	Percent of Total Dollars Awarded
Implementation	\$65,663,071	\$13,494,619	21%	44%
Planning	\$21,682,005	\$5,394,571	25%	18%
Support Service	\$49,732,675	\$9,957,882	20%	33%
Training	\$4,696,860	\$1,607,561	34%	5%
Total	\$65,663,071	\$13,494,619	21%	44%

Table A3. Grant requests and awards by applicant type for FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Number of Requests	Number of Awards	Award Rate by Applicant Type	Percent of Total Awards
School or School District	898	216	24%	49%
Non-profit entity	703	116	17%	27%
State Agency	156	66	42%	15%
Local Agency	81	17	21%	4%
Agricultural producers	41	6	15%	1%
Indian tribal organization	37	10	27%	2%
University/College/Cooperative Ext*	12	6	50%	1%
Total	1,928	437	23%	100%

* These entities were only eligible for training awards offered in FY 2015.

Table A4. Percent of rural and urban schools or districts impacted by FY 2013 - FY 2018 grant projects

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Total
Percent of schools or districts impacted that are rural	42%	46%	37%	25%	31%	33%	37%
Percent of schools or districts impacted that are urban	58%	54%	63%	75%	69%	67%	63%

Table A5. Approximate number of schools involved and students reached for FY 2013 - FY 2018

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Total
Number of schools involved	3,193	4,236	4,863	5,834	10,474	5,006	33,606
Number of students reached	1,741,707	2,357,050	2,802,261	3,269,722	2,341,569	2,826,580	15,338,889

*Table A6. Grant projects by free and reduced price meal program eligibility rates for FY 2013 - FY 2018 **

Percent of Students Eligible in School for Free or Reduced Price Meals	Total Percent of U.S. Students	Total Percent of Grant Projects	Total Number of Grant Projects **
0%-25%	20%	2%	8
26%-50%	28%	20%	68
51%-75%	28%	44%	153
76%-100%	24%	34%	118
Total	100%	100%	347

* Information regarding percent of students eligible for free or reduced price meals served by each grant project is only available from planning, implementation, and support service projects.

** Information was not available from 90 projects. Training grantees in FY 13-16 were not asked to submit school data. In FY 17, all grant types were strongly encouraged to provide this data but it was not a required field, thus some grantees may not supply it.

Table A7. State-by-state breakdown of grant requests and awards for FY 2013 - FY 2018

	FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017		FY2018	
	Requests	Awards	Requests	Awards	Requests	Awards	Requests	Awards	Requests	Awards	Requests	Awards
Delaware	2	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	1
D.C.	7	1	4	1	7	0	0	0	8	1	1	1
Maryland	6	1	3	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	2	2
New Jersey	7	1	6	3	4	0	2	0	6	1	4	1
Pennsylvania	14	2	14	1	16	3	6	1	8	1	10	2
Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	0
U.S. Virgin Islands	1	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Virginia	11	2	8	2	10	3	5	2	2	1	6	2
West Virginia	1	0	3	0	2	1	3	1	1	0	3	1
Mid-Atlantic	49	8	39	8	47	9	18	4	30	6	32	10
Illinois	10	1	9	1	10	1	8	1	7	1	8	2
Indiana	2	0	7	1	7	2	3	0	8	1	6	1
Michigan	11	2	9	1	8	2	5	2	9	2	6	2
Minnesota	15	2	10	2	10	2	6	1	6	1	5	1
Ohio	8	1	9	3	13	5	2	1	10	2	11	2
Wisconsin	14	2	16	3	13	3	10	2	4	2	3	2
Midwest	60	8	60	11	61	15	34	7	44	9	39	10
Colorado	10	3	13	3	5	1	5	2	7	1	8	1
Iowa	3	1	3	1	5	0	3	1	3	2	7	4
Kansas	3	0	5	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	2	0
Missouri	5	3	5	2	7	2	4	2	5	1	6	1
Montana	7	2	5	2	4	1	3	2	4	1	5	1
Nebraska	1	0	2	1	1	0	3	2	2	1	2	0
North Dakota	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	2	1	1	0
South Dakota	4	1	3	1	3	1	2	0	3	1	0	0
Utah	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	3	0	4	1
Wyoming	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	1	2	1
Mountain Plains	35	10	37	11	31	8	25	11	35	10	37	9
Connecticut	2	1	6	1	5	1	3	1	3	2	3	2
Maine	9	2	11	1	9	2	5	1	4	1	4	1
Massachusetts	13	3	4	2	9	2	13	4	7	2	10	2
New Hampshire	1	0	6	1	4	0	3	2	2	0	4	1
New York	23	3	26	2	22	5	28	6	19	3	17	4
Rhode Island	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	5	1	3	1
Vermont	3	1	5	1	4	1	4	2	2	0	2	1
Northeast	52	10	61	9	53	11	57	16	42	9	43	12

	FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017		FY 2018	
	Requests	Awards	Requests	Awards	Requests	Awards	Requests	Awards	Requests	Awards	Requests	Awards
Alabama	3	0	2	1	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	1
Florida	11	0	8	2	6	0	9	1	9	1	7	2
Georgia	14	3	8	2	12	2	9	1	14	3	12	2
Kentucky	11	2	6	2	9	5	4	1	3	1	5	2
Mississippi	3	1	4	2	6	1	3	1	3	1	6	2
North Carolina	9	3	3	2	8	3	6	2	8	1	2	0
South Carolina	6	1	3	1	4	1	6	2	2	2	1	0
Tennessee	7	1	4	0	4	1	4	1	6	1	6	1
Southeast	64	11	38	12	52	14	42	10	48	11	40	10
Arkansas	6	2	4	1	4	2	7	2	6	1	5	1
Louisiana	2	0	2	2	7	2	6	0	3	0	4	1
New Mexico	6	2	5	1	5	2	5	3	4	1	11	1
Oklahoma	6	1	6	2	5	1	3	0	11	3	8	3
Texas	6	1	7	1	3	1	9	3	6	2	5	2
Southwest	26	6	24	7	24	8	30	8	30	7	33	8
Alaska	2	0	5	1	5	2	4	1	5	1	6	1
Arizona	4	1	10	2	7	1	5	1	4	0	9	2
California	50	6	42	6	42	8	31	9	43	9	38	4
Guam	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Hawaii	9	1	4	0	6	1	5	1	2	0	5	2
Idaho	1	1	0	0	2	1	3	1	1	0	0	0
Nevada	3	1	7	1	4	1	1	1	1	0	3	1
Oregon	11	2	8	1	6	1	7	5	3	1	5	1
Washington	8	3	10	2	6	2	9	4	6	1	5	2
Western	88	15	86	13	80	17	65	23	65	12	72	14
TOTAL	374	68	345	71	348	82	271	79	294	65	296	73

Table A8. State-by-state breakdown of grant requests and awards for cumulative FY 2013 - FY 2018

	Cumulative (FY 2013 – FY 2018)		Total Percent of Requests Funded
	Requests	Awards	
Delaware	7	4	57%
D.C.	27	4	15%
Maryland	16	4	25%
New Jersey	29	6	21%
Pennsylvania	68	10	15%
Puerto Rico	7	1	14%
U.S. Virgin Islands	6	1	17%
Virginia	42	12	29%
West Virginia	13	3	23%
Mid-Atlantic	215	45	21%
Illinois	52	7	13%
Indiana	33	5	15%
Michigan	48	11	23%
Minnesota	52	9	17%
Ohio	53	14	26%
Wisconsin	60	14	23%
Midwest	298	60	20%
Colorado	48	11	23%
Iowa	24	9	38%
Kansas	15	4	27%
Missouri	32	11	34%
Montana	28	9	32%
Nebraska	11	4	36%
North Dakota	8	2	25%
South Dakota	15	4	27%
Utah	11	2	18%
Wyoming	8	3	38%
Mountain Plains	200	59	30%
Connecticut	22	8	36%
Maine	42	8	19%
Massachusetts	56	15	27%
New Hampshire	20	4	20%
New York	135	23	17%
Rhode Island	13	3	23%
Vermont	20	6	30%
Northeast	308	67	22%

	Cumulative (FY 2013 – FY 2018)		Total Percent of Requests Funded
	Requests	Awards	
	Cumulative (FY 2013 – FY 2018)		Total Percent of Requests Funded
	Requests	Awards	
Alabama	13	5	38%
Florida	50	6	12%
Georgia	69	13	19%
Kentucky	38	13	34%
Mississippi	25	8	32%
North Carolina	36	11	31%
South Carolina	22	7	32%
Tennessee	31	5	16%
Southeast	284	68	24%
Arkansas	32	9	28%
Louisiana	24	5	21%
New Mexico	36	10	28%
Oklahoma	39	10	26%
Texas	36	10	28%
Southwest	167	44	26%
Alaska	27	6	22%
Arizona	39	7	18%
California	246	42	17%
Guam	3	1	33%
Hawaii	31	5	16%
Idaho	7	3	43%
Nevada	19	5	26%
Oregon	40	11	28%
Washington	44	14	32%
Western	456	94	21%
TOTAL	1,928	437	23%

Table A9. Details of funding by state and region for FY 2013 - 2018

	FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017		FY 2018	
	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded
Delaware	\$197,531	\$99,990	\$44,967	\$38,126	\$166,600	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$99,971	\$0	\$99,137	\$96,989
D.C.	\$497,134	\$99,998	\$341,026	\$96,996	\$569,384	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$537,408	\$42,182	\$99,930	\$99,476
Maryland	\$491,415	\$93,750	\$232,245	\$0	\$270,390	\$0	\$25,000	\$0	\$97,662	\$97,662	\$77,620	\$77,620
New Jersey	\$423,224	\$44,449	\$411,299	\$133,124	\$305,880	\$0	\$119,056	\$0	\$322,860	\$90,945	\$223,477	\$31,291
Pennsylvania	\$1,063,536	\$70,918	\$1,075,363	\$95,500	\$1,016,362	\$229,983	\$474,558	\$24,984	\$533,234	\$100,000	\$885,488	\$118,429
Puerto Rico	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	\$100,000	\$345,300	\$0
U.S. Virgin Islands	\$44,899	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$166,138	\$21,698	\$97,280	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Virginia	\$798,810	\$89,481	\$493,575	\$132,139	\$626,746	\$163,344	\$227,042	\$144,581	\$139,807	\$99,825	\$433,976	\$130,397
West Virginia	\$100,000	\$0	\$293,551	\$0	\$200,000	\$100,000	\$149,994	\$24,994	\$100,000	\$0	\$219,226	\$68,518
Mid-Atlantic	\$3,616,548	\$498,586	\$2,892,025	\$495,885	\$3,321,501	\$615,025	\$1,092,930	\$194,559	\$2,130,942	\$530,614	\$2,384,154	\$622,720
Illinois	\$633,113	\$100,000	\$540,586	\$36,562	\$639,975	\$24,929	\$669,496	\$85,767	\$407,644	\$44,996	\$553,298	\$155,039
Indiana	\$139,295	\$0	\$485,736	\$100,000	\$405,316	\$150,000	\$194,629	\$0	\$445,626	\$35,018	\$376,849	\$98,468
Michigan	\$739,528	\$144,900	\$703,360	\$45,000	\$734,266	\$195,344	\$299,900	\$87,168	\$678,596	\$168,544	\$519,203	\$191,299
Minnesota	\$995,079	\$133,750	\$804,149	\$111,454	\$821,568	\$187,566	\$484,806	\$45,000	\$463,941	\$99,956	\$409,574	\$86,376
Ohio	\$529,200	\$45,000	\$581,806	\$163,882	\$940,397	\$259,711	\$189,308	\$100,000	\$852,562	\$197,977	\$800,589	\$190,601
Wisconsin	\$989,969	\$134,953	\$1,275,550	\$259,797	\$1,102,444	\$294,596	\$761,151	\$116,590	\$312,714	\$180,377	\$245,001	\$170,473
Midwest	\$4,026,182	\$558,603	\$4,391,188	\$716,695	\$4,643,966	\$1,112,147	\$2,599,290	\$434,525	\$3,161,083	\$726,868	\$2,904,514	\$892,256
Colorado	\$655,773	\$228,766	\$1,100,353	\$295,355	\$384,706	\$97,683	\$334,907	\$120,656	\$591,816	\$99,866	\$480,596	\$27,900
Iowa	\$291,189	\$100,000	\$295,176	\$95,600	\$340,504	\$0	\$241,147	\$45,000	\$241,442	\$145,000	\$399,164	\$239,206
Kansas	\$299,943	\$0	\$438,892	\$100,000	\$24,990	\$24,990	\$98,593	\$97,108	\$244,974	\$44,976	\$89,615	\$0
Missouri	\$314,645	\$156,191	\$327,687	\$83,243	\$537,106	\$124,855	\$388,396	\$190,948	\$457,990	\$99,994	\$403,603	\$25,234
Montana	\$591,986	\$127,998	\$491,507	\$193,706	\$262,946	\$50,000	\$169,728	\$124,848	\$389,465	\$100,000	\$334,192	\$99,936
Nebraska	\$99,729	\$0	\$145,000	\$99,600	\$44,698	\$0	\$183,303	\$87,300	\$102,385	\$71,860	\$149,813	\$0
North Dakota	\$51,241	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$176,246	\$15,177	\$173,383	\$75,000	\$99,510	\$0
South Dakota	\$204,790	\$39,436	\$185,932	\$21,631	\$216,644	\$99,189	\$106,665	\$0	\$132,853	\$24,158	\$0	\$0
Utah	\$0	\$0	\$87,436	\$0	\$115,800	\$17,200	\$45,000	\$0	\$252,888	\$0	\$374,407	\$100,000
Wyoming	\$94,645	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$130,000	\$65,000	\$0	\$0	\$178,106	\$33,106	\$191,869	\$94,704
Mountain Plains	\$2,603,941	\$652,391	\$3,071,984	\$889,135	\$2,157,394	\$478,917	\$1,743,985	\$681,037	\$2,765,302	\$693,960	\$2,522,769	\$586,980

	FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017		FY 2018	
	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded
Connecticut	\$191,634	\$98,100	\$520,337	\$100,000	\$308,223	\$14,613	\$238,018	\$98,649	\$230,800	\$158,384	\$150,494	\$50,500
Maine	\$751,640	\$144,014	\$877,249	\$100,000	\$732,419	\$144,213	\$418,049	\$45,000	\$333,273	\$33,778	\$265,639	\$100,000
Massachusetts	\$772,568	\$226,897	\$344,665	\$144,998	\$591,524	\$150,000	\$1,081,102	\$296,958	\$502,964	\$128,965	\$723,105	\$130,593
New Hampshire	\$67,633	\$0	\$448,571	\$30,737	\$319,488	\$0	\$186,725	\$142,376	\$103,439	\$0	\$221,958	\$41,093
New York	\$1,634,626	\$210,398	\$2,034,917	\$199,997	\$1,455,842	\$254,443	\$1,763,010	\$410,226	\$1,591,591	\$244,282	\$1,235,763	\$273,529
Rhode Island	\$100,000	\$0	\$210,838	\$91,917	\$0	\$0	\$80,619	\$0	\$249,346	\$90,190	\$194,386	\$47,366
Vermont	\$244,859	\$91,712	\$492,588	\$91,712	\$368,209	\$99,999	\$293,573	\$125,000	\$193,361	\$0	\$177,365	\$85,566
Northeast	\$3,762,959	\$771,121	\$4,929,164	\$759,361	\$3,775,705	\$663,268	\$4,061,096	\$1,118,209	\$3,204,774	\$655,599	\$2,968,710	\$728,647
Alabama	\$245,000	\$0	\$175,000	\$100,000	\$220,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$170,010	\$100,000	\$25,707	\$25,707
Florida	\$802,901	\$0	\$588,935	\$145,000	\$543,577	\$0	\$706,258	\$80,179	\$744,898	\$43,550	\$472,151	\$150,000
Georgia	\$1,079,504	\$230,667	\$765,182	\$199,700	\$803,291	\$139,947	\$771,349	\$96,580	\$1,016,502	\$178,731	\$847,975	\$42,750
Kentucky	\$825,005	\$145,000	\$359,689	\$78,580	\$537,957	\$312,707	\$286,847	\$97,047	\$264,763	\$97,601	\$439,379	\$199,913
Mississippi	\$183,146	\$38,145	\$308,215	\$133,183	\$378,263	\$25,000	\$279,582	\$79,595	\$299,726	\$99,726	\$417,301	\$138,211
North Carolina	\$592,039	\$240,381	\$232,282	\$159,356	\$450,124	\$221,778	\$344,640	\$50,940	\$606,311	\$94,100	\$150,000	\$0
South Carolina	\$376,267	\$100,000	\$235,545	\$99,993	\$323,288	\$20,588	\$357,714	\$174,799	\$175,563	\$174,540	\$20,870	\$0
Tennessee	\$441,686	\$40,286	\$240,110	\$0	\$93,373	\$40,000	\$181,678	\$38,682	\$339,516	\$14,581	\$474,002	\$97,802
Southeast	\$4,545,547	\$794,479	\$2,904,958	\$915,812	\$3,349,873	\$860,020	\$3,028,068	\$717,822	\$3,617,289	\$802,829	\$2,847,385	\$654,383
Arkansas	\$372,412	\$144,058	\$215,800	\$32,721	\$298,657	\$149,918	\$438,638	\$92,573	\$460,934	\$31,381	\$430,743	\$96,779
Louisiana	\$200,000	\$0	\$145,000	\$145,000	\$292,245	\$69,993	\$469,770	\$0	\$224,830	\$0	\$399,198	\$99,294
New Mexico	\$422,250	\$137,079	\$417,600	\$99,553	\$330,158	\$83,873	\$371,913	\$222,300	\$275,694	\$94,701	\$622,553	\$93,209
Oklahoma	\$620,480	\$99,994	\$434,313	\$89,847	\$265,788	\$41,535	\$198,126	\$0	\$826,645	\$140,966	\$535,561	\$118,529
Texas	\$373,372	\$43,587	\$409,291	\$44,232	\$248,100	\$100,000	\$584,810	\$110,298	\$485,936	\$137,704	\$395,394	\$158,063
Southwest	\$1,988,514	\$424,718	\$1,622,004	\$411,353	\$1,434,948	\$445,319	\$2,063,257	\$425,171	\$2,274,039	\$404,752	\$2,383,449	\$565,874

	FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017		FY 2018	
	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded
Alaska	\$145,000	\$0	\$379,876	\$45,000	\$273,147	\$50,000	\$375,614	\$95,231	\$367,326	\$100,000	\$445,444	\$99,726
Arizona	\$337,010	\$98,107	\$651,116	\$88,396	\$486,944	\$25,000	\$408,543	\$99,958	\$281,115	\$0	\$535,082	\$196,892
California	\$3,552,575	\$335,929	\$3,669,476	\$476,172	\$3,400,446	\$619,657	\$2,342,964	\$669,759	\$3,510,498	\$753,727	\$2,988,580	\$243,562
Guam	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$123,261	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000
Hawaii	\$658,058	\$44,800	\$254,850	\$0	\$478,177	\$99,991	\$374,584	\$41,785	\$199,965	\$0	\$399,488	\$197,592
Idaho	\$33,750	\$40,670	\$0	\$0	\$87,892	\$18,028	\$123,165	\$44,878	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Nevada	\$223,564	\$90,720	\$513,700	\$100,000	\$188,594	\$22,992	\$19,666	\$19,666	\$24,279	\$0	\$199,484	\$50,000
Oregon	\$902,733	\$192,205	\$695,020	\$99,507	\$389,886	\$99,112	\$608,259	\$418,703	\$299,763	\$99,976	\$322,751	\$98,761
Washington	\$609,982	\$236,538	\$761,106	\$116,700	\$513,398	\$113,414	\$816,519	\$365,214	\$522,342	\$96,625	\$288,180	\$150,000
Western	\$6,462,672	\$1,038,969	\$6,925,145	\$925,774	\$5,941,746	\$1,048,194	\$5,069,314	\$1,755,193	\$5,305,288	\$1,050,328	\$5,279,009	\$1,136,533
TOTAL	\$27,006,364	\$4,738,867	\$26,736,467	\$5,114,016	\$24,625,133	\$5,222,890	\$19,657,940	\$5,326,516	\$22,458,717	\$4,864,950	\$21,289,990	\$5,187,393

Table A10. Summary of funding by state and region for cumulative FY 2013 - 2018

	Cumulative (FY 2013 – FY 2018)			
	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Percent of Total Funds Distributed	Dollars Distributed (Rank)
Delaware	\$608,205.35	\$335,105	1.1%	36
D.C.	\$2,044,882.05	\$338,652	1.1%	35
Maryland	\$1,194,332.00	\$269,032	0.9%	40
New Jersey	\$1,805,795.75	\$299,809	1.0%	38
Pennsylvania	\$5,048,541.59	\$639,814	2.1%	18
Puerto Rico	\$545,300.00	\$100,000	0.3%	51
U.S. Virgin Islands	\$408,316.68	\$21,698	0.1%	54
Virginia	\$2,719,955.89	\$759,767	2.5%	13
West Virginia	\$1,062,770.50	\$193,512	0.6%	46
Mid-Atlantic	\$15,438,100	\$2,957,390	9.7%	6
Illinois	\$3,444,112.00	\$447,293	1.5%	29
Indiana	\$2,047,451.27	\$383,486	1.3%	34
Michigan	\$3,674,852.71	\$832,255	2.7%	11
Minnesota	\$3,979,116.71	\$664,102	2.2%	17
Ohio	\$3,893,861.69	\$957,171	3.1%	7
Wisconsin	\$4,686,829.01	\$1,156,786	3.8%	3
Midwest	\$21,726,223	\$4,441,094	14.6%	4
Colorado	\$3,548,151.74	\$870,226	2.9%	10
Iowa	\$1,808,621.77	\$624,806	2.1%	19
Kansas	\$1,197,007.00	\$267,074	0.9%	41
Missouri	\$2,429,427.43	\$680,465	2.2%	16
Montana	\$2,239,824.00	\$696,488	2.3%	15
Nebraska	\$724,928.00	\$258,760	0.8%	42
North Dakota	\$600,380.00	\$90,177	0.3%	53
South Dakota	\$846,884.00	\$184,414	0.6%	48
Utah	\$875,531.00	\$117,200	0.4%	49
Wyoming	\$594,619.83	\$192,810	0.6%	47
Mountain Plains	\$14,865,375	\$3,982,420	13.1%	5

Cumulative (FY 2013 – FY 2018)				
	Funds Requested	Funds Awarded	Percent of Total Funds Distributed	Dollars Distributed (Rank)
Connecticut	\$1,639,505.50	\$520,246	1.7%	24
Maine	\$3,378,269.01	\$567,005	1.9%	22
Massachusetts	\$4,015,927.21	\$1,078,410	3.5%	5
New Hampshire	\$1,347,813.44	\$214,206	0.7%	45
New York	\$9,715,748.60	\$1,592,875	5.2%	2
Rhode Island	\$835,189.00	\$229,473	0.8%	44
Vermont	\$1,769,955.00	\$493,989	1.6%	27
Northeast	\$22,702,408	\$4,696,205	15.4%	3
Alabama	\$935,717.00	\$425,707	1.4%	30
Florida	\$3,858,719.54	\$418,729	1.4%	31
Georgia	\$5,283,802.78	\$888,375	2.9%	9
Kentucky	\$2,713,639.62	\$930,848	3.1%	8
Mississippi	\$1,866,233.05	\$513,860	1.7%	25
North Carolina	\$2,375,396.07	\$766,555	2.5%	12
South Carolina	\$1,489,247.00	\$569,920	1.9%	21
Tennessee	\$1,770,365.40	\$231,351	0.8%	43
Southeast	\$20,293,120	\$4,745,345	15.6%	2
Arkansas	\$2,217,183.66	\$547,430	1.8%	23
Louisiana	\$1,731,042.99	\$314,287	1.0%	37
New Mexico	\$2,440,168.40	\$730,715	2.4%	14
Oklahoma	\$2,880,912.80	\$490,871	1.6%	28
Texas	\$2,496,903.00	\$593,884	2.0%	20
Southwest	\$11,766,211	\$2,677,187	8.8%	7
Alaska	\$1,986,407.04	\$389,956	1.3%	32
Arizona	\$2,699,809.22	\$508,352	1.7%	26
California	\$19,464,539.34	\$3,098,806	10.2%	1
Guam	\$223,261.00	\$100,000	0.3%	51
Hawaii	\$2,365,122.43	\$384,168	1.3%	33
Idaho	\$344,807.00	\$103,576	0.3%	50
Nevada	\$1,169,287.42	\$283,378	0.9%	39
Oregon	\$3,218,412.86	\$1,008,264	3.3%	6
Washington	\$3,511,527.29	\$1,078,491	3.5%	4
Western	\$34,983,174	\$6,954,991	22.8%	1
Total	141,774,611	30,454,633	100.0%	

Table A11. Details of awards by grant type by state FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Implementation		Planning		Support Service		Training	
	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded
Alabama	4	1	1	0	5	3	3	1
Alaska	10	2	7	1	7	1	3	2
Arizona	12	4	12	2	10	0	5	1
Arkansas	10	3	9	3	8	0	5	3
California	105	11	65	14	66	13	10	4
Colorado	17	4	13	2	15	4	3	1
Connecticut	9	3	5	1	5	2	3	2
Delaware	4	3	1	1	2	0		
District of Columbia	9	2	9	1	8	1	1	0
Florida	18	3	14	3	15	0	3	0
Georgia	28	4	10	2	21	4	10	3
Guam	1	1			1	0	1	0
Hawaii	13	2	11	2	7	1		
Idaho	1	0	2	2	2	0	2	1
Illinois	16	3	23	2	9	1	4	1
Indiana	7	1	13	1	10	2	3	1
Iowa	5	2	6	5	10	2	3	0
Kansas	5	0	3	1	6	2	1	1
Kentucky	19	6	12	4	5	2	2	1
Louisiana	11	2	7	2	3	0	3	1
Maine	14	2	12	4	16	2		
Maryland	7	1	4	2	4	1	1	0
Massachusetts	22	7	18	4	12	2	4	2
Michigan	21	6	13	4	13	1	1	0
Minnesota	19	3	13	3	18	3	2	0
Mississippi	6	2	5	2	10	2	4	2
Missouri	15	4	9	4	6	1	2	2

	Implementation		Planning		Support Service		Training	
	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded

	Implementation		Planning		Support Service		Training	
	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded
Montana	10	3	4	1	11	3	3	2
Nebraska	2	0	4	1	3	2	2	1
Nevada	5	1	7	1	3	1	4	2
New Hampshire	6	1	7	3	6	0	1	0
New Jersey	6	0	16	5	6	1	1	0
New Mexico	9	3	15	2	8	3	4	2
New York	42	5	49	9	37	7	7	2
North Carolina	15	4	9	1	7	3	5	3
North Dakota	5	0			2	1	1	1
Ohio	20	5	18	6	14	2	1	1
Oklahoma	15	2	12	7	9	0	3	1
Oregon	17	5	6	0	13	5	4	1
Pennsylvania	29	3	14	3	21	2	4	2
Puerto Rico	2	0	3	0	1	1	1	0
Rhode Island	4	0	6	1	3	2		
South Carolina	11	5	7	0	2	1	2	1
South Dakota	2	0	6	2	5	1	2	1
Tennessee	10	1	15	4	2	0	4	0
Texas	14	4	13	5	6	0	3	1
Utah	6	1	1	0	3	0	1	1
Vermont	10	4	1	0	8	1	1	1
Virgin Islands of the U.S.			2	0	3	0	1	1
Virginia	12	2	16	5	10	4	4	1
Washington	15	5	10	3	18	5	1	1
West Virginia	6	1			4	1	3	1

	Implementation		Planning		Support Service		Training	
	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded
Wisconsin	23	5	9	1	24	7	4	1
Wyoming	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	0
Total	707	143	548	133	526	104	147	57

Table A12. Summary of awards by grant type by state FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Implementation	Planning	Support Service	Training
Total number of states and territories with grants of each project type	45	44	41	38

Table A13. Details of awards by grant type by region FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Implementation	Planning	Support Service	Training
Mid-Atlantic Regional Office	12	17	11	5
Midwest Regional Office	23	17	16	4
Mountain Plains Regional Office	15	17	17	10
Northeast Regional Office	22	22	16	7
Southeast Regional Office	26	16	15	11
Southwest Regional Office	14	19	3	8
Western Regional Office	31	25	26	12
Grand Total	143	133	104	57

Table A14. Details of requests and awards by entity by state FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Agricultural Producers		Indian Tribal Organization		Local Agency		Non-Profit Entity		School or School District		State Agency		University/College/ Cooperative Ext	
	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded
Alabama					1		5	1	2	1	4	3	1	
Alaska			6				5	1	12	3	4	2		
Arizona	1						20	3	15	3	3	1		
Arkansas							14	2	16	6	2	1		
California	5	1	1	1	15	2	90	13	132	24	3	1		
Colorado	1				5	1	17	4	24	6	1			
Connecticut					1	1	7	3	12	3	2	1		
Delaware							4	1	3	3				
District of Columbia							11	1	13	2	3	1		
Florida	1				3		17		27	6	2			
Georgia	3						33	6	23	4	10	3		
Guam									1		2	1		
Hawaii	3	1					12	1	14	3	2			
Idaho					1		2		3	2	1	1		
Illinois					2	1	14	2	32	3	3		1	1
Indiana	3	1			1		10	1	14	1	5	2		
Iowa					2	1	11	2	9	5	2	1		
Kansas							3	1	5	1	7	2		
Kentucky	2				4	1	6	1	20	8	6	3		
Louisiana							9	1	14	3			1	1
Maine					2		21	2	17	6	2			
Maryland							5	1	6	1	5	2		
Massachusetts	1	1			2		24	4	28	9	1	1		
Michigan	2		1		2		13	1	27	9	3	1		
Minnesota	1		2		3	1	19	2	23	5	3	1	1	

	Agricultural Producers		Indian Tribal Organization		Local Agency		Non-Profit Entity		School or School District		State Agency		University/College/ Cooperative Ext	
	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded	Requested	Awarded
Mississippi	1	1	2	2			18	3	4	2				
Missouri	2				1	1	8	2	17	6	3	1	1	1
Montana	1		1				12	5	8	2	6	2		
Nebraska							5	2	5	1	1	1		
Nevada					1	1	6	1	8	1	4	2		
New Hampshire	2				3		2		12	4	1			
New Jersey							12	1	16	4	1	1		
New Mexico					1		21	6	13	4	1			
New York	1		2		4	1	56	8	68	11	3	2	1	1
North Carolina	1		1	1	2		12	4	14	4	6	2		
North Dakota			1				1		3		3	2		
Ohio	3				5	1	13	2	27	10	4		1	1
Oklahoma	1		12	3			12		12	6	2	1		
Oregon					6	1	15	5	16	3	3	2		
Pennsylvania					1		29	4	33	5	4	1	1	
Puerto Rico					1	1	3				3			
Rhode Island					1	1	5	1	6		1	1		
South Carolina							1		16	4	4	2	1	1
South Dakota			4	1			5		5	2	1	1		
Tennessee					1		6	1	21	4	1		2	
Texas					1		16	2	19	8				
Utah	1				1		4	1	4		1	1		
Vermont							10	2	8	2	2	2		
Virgin Islands of the U.S.	1								3		2	1		
Virginia	1				2		17	3	19	6	3	3		
Washington			1		2	1	16	5	19	5	5	3	1	
West Virginia	1						3		3		6	3		
Wisconsin	2	1	3	2	4	1	21	4	25	4	5	2		
Wyoming							2		2	1	4	2		
Total	41	6	37	10	81	17	703	116	898	216	156	66	12	6

Table A15. Summary of awards by entity FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Ag Producer	Indian Tribal Org	Local Agency	Non-Profit Entity	School or School District	State Agency	Univ./ College/ Coop. Ext.
Total number of states/territories with grants to each entity type	6	6	16	42	47	40	6

Table A16. Summary of awards by entity by region FY 2013 – FY 2018

	Ag Producer	Indian Tribal Org	Local Agency	Non-Profit Entity	School or School District	State Agency	Univ./ College/ Coop. Ext.
Mid-Atlantic Region			1	11	21	12	
Midwest Region	2	2	4	12	32	6	2
Mountain Plains Region		1	3	17	24	13	1
Northeast Region	1		3	20	35	7	1
Southeast Region	1	3	1	16	33	13	1
Southwest Region		3		11	27	2	1
Western Region	2	1	5	29	44	13	
Total	6	10	17	116	216	66	6

Table A17. Repeat grantees FY 2013 – FY 2018 (N=49)

	Planning Awards	Implementation Awards	Support Service Awards	Training
Alabama Department of Agriculture and Industries			2	
Alaska Gateway School District	1	1		
Appalachian Sustainable Agriculture Project		1		1
Auburn School Department	1	1		
Beaufort County Board of Education		2		
Carrollton City Schools Nutrition Program	1	1		
Center for Ecoliteracy			2	
Center for Rural Affairs			1	1
Chico Unified School District	1	1		
Colonial School District		2		
Community Food Bank, Inc.		2		
Concrete School District	1	1		
Conway School District	1	1		
Dallas Independent School District	1	1		
Farm to Table, Inc.		1	1	1
Georgia Organics			1	1
Hartwig Legacy Foundation d/b/a KC Healthy Kids		1	1	
IDEA Public Schools	1	1		
Indiana State Department of Health		1	1	
Kentucky Department of Agriculture		1	1	1
Lawrence County School District	1	1		
Magdalena Municipal School District	1	1		
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	1	1		
National Center for Appropriate Technology			2	
National Farm to School Network				2
North Carolina Department of Agriculture & Consumer Services				2
North Dakota Department of Public Instruction			1	1
North East Independent School District	1	1		
Oakland Unified School District		2		
Oxford School District	1	1		
Pasadena Unified School District	1	1		
Perry County School District	1	1		
Portsmouth School Department	1	1		
School Board of Alachua County	1	1		
School District Five of Lexington and Richland Counties		2		

	Planning Awards	Implementation Awards	Support Service Awards	Training
School District of Springfield R-12	1	1		
Somerville Public Schools	1	1		
South Carolina Department of Agriculture		1	1	
Sparta Area School District	1	1		
Springfield City School District	1	1		
Stark County Educational Service Center	1	1		
Stilwell Public Schools	1	1		
The Partnership for a Healthy Mississippi			2	
Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Food & Markets		1		1
Washington State Department of Agriculture		1	1	1
Waterford School District	1	1		
West Virginia Department of Education			1	1
Willamette Farm and Food Coalition		1		1
Wyoming Department of Education		1	1	

APPENDIX B. TRAINING GRANTS

Training grants are intended to support state, regional, and national trainings¹⁸ that strengthen farm to school supply chains, or trainings that provide technical assistance in the area of local procurement, food safety, culinary education, and/or integration of agriculture-based curriculum. Training grants were supported in FY 2015 using discretionary program funds and were open to all interested parties, including colleges and universities. The training grants continued with grant funds in FY 2016, FY 2017, and FY 2018 and thus ineligible entities (e.g. colleges and universities) were excluded. Table B1 summarizes training grant requests and awards from FY 2015 to FY 2018.

Table B1. Training grant requests and awards FY 2015 – FY 2018

	Total Applications	Total Awards	Percent Awarded	Total Amount Requested	Total Amount Awarded	Percent of Dollars Awarded
Training Grants	147	57	39%	\$4,696,860.49	\$1,607,560.99	34%

¹⁸ In FY 2013-2017, training grantees were categorized as state, regional and national based on the scope of their projects. As of FY 2018, this delimitation is no longer applied.

APPENDIX C. VOLUNTEER SERVICE GRANTS

Beyond the \$5 million in funding provided in the HHFKA, in FY 2016, USDA awarded an additional \$495,211 in grant funding to support placement of volunteer service members (e.g. AmeriCorps, VISTA, local service corps programs, etc.) in schools throughout the country to build or maintain school gardens, incorporate nutrition education into the schools' culture, and support school food service personnel in procuring or promoting local and regional products for the school meal program. The President's FY 2016 initial budget request originally allocated \$1 million for this grant making priority. Congress approved a budget request of approximately \$871,000 and USDA subsequently sequestered an additional \$224,000 leaving \$647,000 for grant making. Table C1 summarizes the grants made with these funds.

Table C1. Volunteer service grant requests and awards FY 2016

Total Project Applications	Total Project Awards	Percent of Projects Awarded	Total Dollars Requested	Total Dollars Awarded	Percent of Dollars Awarded
20	5	25%	\$1,933,540	\$495,211	26%

APPENDIX D. LIST OF ALL GRANTEES

Table D1. All awarded grantees FY 2013 – FY 2018

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
AK Department of Natural Resources - Division of Agriculture	Alaska																*				
Akron City School District	Ohio							*													
Alabama Department of Agriculture and Industries	Alabama					*											*				
Alabama State Department of Education	Alabama																				*
Alaska Gateway School District	Alaska				*						*										
American Farmland Trust	New York																				*
Amherst Public Schools	Massachusetts																		*		
Appalachian Sustainable Agriculture Project	North Carolina	*												*							
Appalachian Sustainable Development	Virginia							*													
Arizona Department of Education	Arizona									*											
Arkansas Agriculture Department	Arkansas																	*			
Arkansas Children's Hospital Research Institute	Arkansas									*											
Athens Land Trust, Inc.	Georgia					*															
Atlantic Community School District	Iowa														*						
Auburn School Department	Maine										*								*		
Aurora East USD 131	Illinois														*						

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
Bakersfield City School District	California																			*	
Baltimore City Public Schools	Maryland	*																			
Bayfield Regional Food Producers Cooperative	Wisconsin					*															
Beaufort County Schools	North Carolina	*													*						
Birmingham City Schools	Alabama						*														
Board of Education, Buffalo NY	New York							*													
Board of Education, Memphis City Schools	Tennessee		*																		
Boston Public Schools	Massachusetts	*																			
Boulder Valley School District	Colorado			*																	
Brentwood Union Free School District	New York											*									
Bridgeton Public Schools	New Jersey				*																
Brooklyn Center Community Schools ISD 2866500	Minnesota																		*		
Bureau of Indian Affairs-Flandreau Indian School	South Dakota		*																		
Burlington School Department	Vermont										*										
Capital Roots, Inc.	New York																*				
Carlton Cook Lake Saint Louis County Community Health Board	Minnesota								*												
Carrollton City Schools Nutrition Department	Georgia		*												*						
CDFA Office of Farm to Fork	California																*				
Center For Ecoliteracy	California							*				*									
Center for Rural Affairs	Nebraska					*								*							

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
Central Oregon Intergovernmental Council	Oregon												*								
Chapel Hill-Carrboro City Schools	North Carolina			*																	
Chef Ann Foundation	Colorado												*								
Cheney Public Schools in Spokane County, Washington	Washington		*																		
Cherokee Nation	Oklahoma																		*		
Chesapeake Public Schools	Virginia				*																
Chicago Public Schools, District 299	Illinois	*																			
Chickasaw Nation Nutrition Services	Oklahoma	*																			
Chico Unified School District	California		*				*														
Children's Home Association of Illinois	Illinois				*																
City of Providence	Rhode Island																		*		
City Schoolyard Garden	Virginia												*								
City Seed, Inc.	Connecticut	*																			
Clark County Public Health	Washington												*								
Clemson University	South Carolina									*											
Cleveland Municipal School District	Ohio		*																		
Codman Academy Charter Public School	Massachusetts															*					
Colonial School District	Delaware						*												*		
Colorado Farm to School Task Force/Colorado Health Foundation	Colorado													*							
Colorado Foundation for Public Health and the Environment	Colorado					*															

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
Columbia Public Schools	Missouri						*														
Columbus City Schools	Ohio														*						
Colusa County Office of Education	California																			*	
Comanche Public Schools	Oklahoma															*					
Common Market Philadelphia, Inc	Pennsylvania								*												
Community Alliance with Family Farmers	California									*											
Community Food Bank, Inc.	Arizona	*																	*		
Community GroundWorks	Wisconsin																*				
Community Health Improvement Partners	California								*												
Community Alliance with Family Farmers	California	*																			
Concrete School District	Washington				*						*										
Connecticut Department of Agriculture	Connecticut																				*
Conway School District	Arkansas											*							*		
Cornell Cooperative Extension of Broome County	New York									*											
Corvallis Environmental Center	Oregon								*												
County of Sonoma Department of Health Services	California												*								
CT Food System Alliance	Connecticut									*											
Cuyahoga County District Board of Health	Ohio												*								
Dallas Independent School District	Texas		*				*														
DC Central Kitchen	District of Columbia	*																			

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
DeLaSalle Education Center	Missouri		*																		
Delta County School District 50J	Colorado																			*	
Des Moines Independent Community School District	Iowa											*									
Des Moines Municipal Schools	New Mexico		*																		
Detroit Public Schools Community District	Michigan																		*		
District of Columbia Public Schools	District of Columbia																		*		
District School Board of Putnam County, Florida	Florida															*					
Dorchester District Two-ARHS	South Carolina													*							
Durango School District 9-R	Colorado			*																	
Eagle Academy Public Charter School	District of Columbia															*					
Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians	North Carolina								*												
Eastern Upper Peninsula Intermediate School District	Michigan				*																
Easton Baton Rouge Parish School System	Louisiana				*																
Ecotrust	Oregon					*															
Edible Schoolyard New York	New York																*				
Elgin Independent School District	Texas											*									
Elkton-Pigeon-Bay Port Laker School District	Michigan											*									
Elkton-Pigeon-Bay Port Laker Schools	Michigan																		*		
End Hunger Connecticut!	Connecticut												*								

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
Ezra H. Baker Elementary School	Massachusetts		*																		
Farm Bureau Agricultural Preservation Corporation	Massachusetts	*																			
Farm Fresh Rhode Island	Rhode Island					*															
Farm to Table, Inc.	New Mexico	*								*			*								
Fayette County Community Action Agency, Inc.	Pennsylvania																*				
Fayette County Public Schools	Kentucky		*																		
Fayetteville School District #1	Arkansas	*																			
Ferguson-Florissant School District	Missouri										*										
First Nations Development Institute	Colorado													*							
Firstline Schools, Inc./ Edible Schoolyard New Orleans	Louisiana			*																	
Fond Du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa	Minnesota		*																		
Food and Nutrition Sarasota County Schools	Florida			*																	
Food Bank of North Alabama	Alabama												*								
Food Bank of Northeast Georgia	Georgia												*								
Foodbank of Santa Barbara County	California																*				
FoodCorps	Oregon												*								
Foodlink, Inc.	New York												*								
Forsyth County Board of Education	Georgia														*						
Foundation of District 304	Washington																			*	
Fremont City Schools	Ohio				*																

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
Fremont County School District #38	Wyoming															*					
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission	California																*				
Gallatin Valley Farm to School	Montana																*				
Garden Raised Bounty	Washington												*								
Georgia Department of Agriculture	Georgia					*															
Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning	Georgia																				*
Georgia Department of Education	Georgia																				*
Georgia Organics, Inc	Georgia								*									*			
Giles County Public Schools/County of Giles	Virginia																		*		
Government of the District of Columbia	District of Columbia					*															
Granby Public Schools	Connecticut																			*	
Green Bay Area Chamber of Commerce Foundation	Wisconsin								*												
Greenup County School District	Kentucky				*																
Grow Pittsburgh	Pennsylvania																		*		
Growing Power, Inc.	Wisconsin	*																			
GUAM DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	Guam																		*		
Hartford Public Schools	Connecticut													*							
Hartwig Legacy Foundation d/b/a KC Healthy Kids	Missouri	*											*								
Hawaii State Department of Education	Hawaii																		*		

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
Hawaii Ulu Producers Cooperative	Hawaii																		*		
Healthcare Central Georgia, Inc, dba Community Health Works	Georgia	*																			
Healthy Acadia	Maine								*												
Healthy Communities Coalition of Lyon and Storey Counties	Nevada					*															
Healthy Communities of the Capital Area	Maine					*															
Healthy Foods for Healthy Kids, Inc.	Delaware	*																			
Houston Independent School District	Texas																		*		
Hudson Valley Seed Inc	New York																		*		
Humboldt County Office of Education	California																		*		
Idaho State Department of Agriculture	Idaho									*											
IDEA Public Schools	Texas				*										*						
Independent School District 197	Minnesota				*																
Indian River Central School District	New York														*						
Indiana State Department of Health	Indiana					*													*		
Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy	Minnesota	*																			
Inter Tribal Buffalo Council	South Dakota								*												
International Rescue Committee, Inc.	New York																		*		
Intertribal Agricultural Council	Montana									*											
Iowa Dept. of Agriculture and Land Stewardship	Iowa															*					
Iowa Valley Resource Conservation and Development	Iowa																		*		

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
Jefferson County Board of Education	Kentucky	*																			
Jefferson Joint School District #251	Idaho		*																		
Julian Union School District	California		*																		
Kane County	Illinois																		*		
Kansas Department of Agriculture	Kansas					*															
Kansas State Department of Education	Kansas									*											
Karuk Tribe	California																*				
Kentucky Department of Agriculture	Kentucky								*	*									*		
KIPP New Orleans Schools Inc	Louisiana							*													
Kona Pacific Public Charter School	Hawaii											*									
Konocti Unified School District	California											*									
La Semilla Food Center	New Mexico					*															
Lake County Community Development Corporation	Montana	*																			
LAND & CULTURAL PRESERVATION FUND, INC/DBA COMMUNITY F.A.R.E	Maryland																			*	
Lane County School District #52 - Bethel	Oregon														*						
Las Vegas Valley Water District	Nevada																				*
Laupahoehoe Community Public Charter School	Hawaii		*																		
Laurel School District	Delaware				*																
Lawrence County School District	Arkansas		*				*														
Lawrence USD 497	Kansas															*					

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
LEAP Academy University Charter School	New Jersey				*																
Ledge Light Health District	Connecticut					*															
LEMON GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT	California		*																		
Leon County School District	Florida																			*	
Lincoln Public Schools	Nebraska											*									
Live Healthy (SNMHF as fiscal agent)	California					*															
Livingston School Districts 4 & 1	Montana			*																	
Local Bounty DBA Real Good Fish	California																*				
Los Molinos Unified School District	California																			*	
Loudoun County Public Schools	Virginia											*									
Louisiana State University Agricultural Center	Louisiana									*											
Louisville/Jefferson County Metro Government	Kentucky																		*		
Lowell Public Schools	Massachusetts						*														
Lower Columbia School Gardens	Washington																		*		
Lynwood Unified School District	California		*																		
MA Farm to School/Project Bread-The Walk for Hunger	Massachusetts												*								
Ma Department of Elementary and Secondary Education	Massachusetts																*				
Magdalena Municipal School District	New Mexico							*							*						
Maine School Administration District 12	Maine		*																		
Mancos Conservation District	Colorado								*												

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
Mansfield School District 76	Arkansas				*																
marketumbrella.org	Louisiana																		*		
Maryland Department of Agriculture	Maryland																*				
Maryland Food Center Authority	Maryland																			*	
Metcalfe County Schools	Kentucky													*							
Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools	Tennessee											*									
Michigan Department of Education	Michigan																*				
Michigan Land Use Institute	Michigan	*																			
Mid Hudson Pattern for Progress, Inc.	New York	*																			
Mid Klamath Watershed Council	California								*												
Milton Town School District	Vermont			*																	
Milwaukee Public Schools	Wisconsin																		*		
Minnesota Department of Agriculture	Minnesota					*															
Mississippi Association of Cooperatives	Mississippi																				*
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	Mississippi				*														*		
Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services	Missouri																				*
Modesto City Schools	California			*																	
Mollen Foundation for Childhood Obesity	Arizona																		*		
Montana Department of Agriculture	Montana																		*		
Montana Office of Public Instruction	Montana												*								

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
Mt. Diablo Unified School District	California							*													
Municipality of Coamo	Puerto Rico																*				
Murfreesboro City Schools	Tennessee							*													
Muscogee (Creek) Nation	Oklahoma																			*	
My Brother's Keeper	Mississippi									*											
National Center for Appropriate Technology	Iowa					*							*								
National Farm to School Network	California									*				*							
Native American Community Academy Foundation	New Mexico																		*		
Natomas Unified School District	California													*							
NC Public Health Foundation	North Carolina					*															
NE Department of Education	Nebraska																*				
Nevada Department of Agriculture	Nevada	*																			
New Jersey Department of Agriculture	New Jersey																*				
New London Public Schools	Connecticut													*							
New Mexico Community Foundation	New Mexico												*								
New Visions Middle School	California														*						
New York City Department of Education, Office of School Food	New York						*														
New York City Department of Education, SchoolFood	New York																		*		
New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets	New York	*																			
Niagara Falls City School District	New York											*									

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
NOP Resource Conservation & Development Council	Washington												*								
Norristown Area School District	Pennsylvania		*																		
North Carolina Department of Agriculture & Consumer Services	North Carolina									*				*							
North Coast Opportunities, Inc	California								*												
North Dakota Department of Public Instruction	North Dakota													*			*				
North East Independent School District	Texas											*							*		
Northeast Iowa Food & Fitness Initiative c/o Upper Explorerland Regional Planning Commission	Iowa					*															
Northeast Organic Farming Association of New Jersey	New Jersey																			*	
Northeast Organic Farming Association of VT	Vermont																		*		
Northeast Regional Steering Committee / NFSN+FINE	Massachusetts									*											
Northern York County School District	Pennsylvania						*														
NYS Department of Agriculture and Markets	New York												*								
Oakland Unified School District	California			*											*						
Oklahoma City Public School District	Oklahoma																			*	
Oklahoma Department of Agriculture, Food & Forestry	Oklahoma																*				
Olympia School District	Washington			*																	

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin	Wisconsin								*												
OR Department of Agriculture	Oregon												*								
Orange County Department of Health	New York					*															
Oregon Department of Education	Oregon																		*		
Osage Hills Public Schools	Oklahoma				*																
Owsley County School District	Kentucky						*														
Oxford School District	Mississippi		*								*										
Park Rapids Schools ISD 309	Minnesota											*									
Pasadena Unified School District	California				*						*										
Passaic Public Schools	New Jersey				*																
Pathfinders Resource Conservation & Development, Inc.	Iowa	*																			
Pennsylvania Department of Education and Agriculture	Pennsylvania													*							
People for People Charter School	Pennsylvania		*																		
Perry County School District	Kentucky				*						*										
Pharr-San Juan-Alamo Independent School District	Texas														*						
Pilot Light	Illinois																		*		
Pittsburg Unified School District	California			*																	
Plumas Unified School District	California											*									
Polk County Reorganized School District R-1	Missouri				*																
Portland Public Schools	Maine	*																			

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
Portsmouth School Department	New Hampshire				*						*										
Poughkeepsie Farm Project	New York												*								
Prescott Unified School District	Arizona				*																
Pryor Public Schools	Oklahoma				*																
Pulaski County	Virginia							*													
Quincy Public Schools	Massachusetts										*										
Rappahannock County Public Schools	Virginia		*																		
Ravalli School District #9, County of	Montana		*																		
Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians	Wisconsin																		*		
Regional School Unit #57	Maine															*					
Regional School Unit 18	Maine							*													
Research, Education, Action and Policy on Food Group (REAP)	Wisconsin					*															
Reynoldsburg City School District	Ohio				*																
Rhode Island Department of Education	Rhode Island																*				
Richmond City Public Schools	Virginia		*																		
Rochester City School District	New York		*																		
Rural Action, Inc	Ohio								*												
Rural Action, Inc.	Ohio																		*		
Sacramento City Unified School District	California										*										
Salmon School District 291	Idaho											*									

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
San Luis Valley Local Foods Coalition	Colorado																*				
Saranac Lake Central School District	New York							*													
School Board of Alachua County	Florida				*						*										
School Board of Sarasota County	Florida																		*		
School District 1J Multnomah County	Oregon	*																			
School District Five of Lexington and Richland Counties	South Carolina			*							*										
School District No. 1 in the City and County of Denver	Colorado	*																			
School District of Springfield R-12	Missouri				*						*										
School District of the City of Detroit	Michigan						*														
School District of the City of Erie	Pennsylvania																			*	
Seabrook School District	New Hampshire											*									
Seven Generations Ahead	Illinois												*								
Sheboygan Area School District	Wisconsin													*							
Shelburne Farms	Vermont								*												
Shelby County Board of Education (Shelby County Schools)	Tennessee																		*		
Somersworth City School System	New Hampshire																			*	
Somerville Public Schools	Massachusetts				*						*										
South Bend Community School Corporaton	Indiana														*						
South Carolina Department of Agriculture	South Carolina	*											*								
South Dakota Department of Education	South Dakota																	*			

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
South Euclid Lyndhurst School District	Ohio			*																	
South Haven Public Schools	Michigan														*						
South Lane School District 45j3	Oregon										*										
Southeast Conference	Alaska									*											
Southeast Island School District	Alaska																		*		
Southwest Georgia Project for Community Education, Inc.	Georgia	*																			
Sparta Area School District	Wisconsin		*				*														
Spartanburg County School District Six	South Carolina														*						
Special School District No. 1	Minnesota						*														
Springfield City School District	Ohio							*							*						
Springfield Public Schools	Massachusetts			*																	
Stark County Educational Service Center	Ohio							*											*		
State of Alaska, Division of Agriculture	Alaska									*											
Stilwell Public Schools	Oklahoma							*							*						
Stokes County Schools	North Carolina		*																		
Sustain Floyd Foundation, Inc. dba SustainFloyd	Virginia					*															
Sustainable Food Center	Texas													*							
Sweetwater Union High School	California				*																
Taney County Health Department	Missouri																*				
Taylor County School District	Kentucky							*													

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
The Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University	Illinois									*											
The City of Muskegon Public Schools	Michigan											*									
The Curators of the University of Missouri	Missouri									*											
The Edible Schoolyard Project	California												*								
The Florence Crittenton Agency	Tennessee															*					
The Food Literacy Project at Oxmoor Farm, Inc.	Kentucky								*												
The Food Trust	Pennsylvania									*											
The Good Acre	Minnesota																*				
The Kohala Center, Inc	Hawaii								*												
The National FFA Organization	Indiana									*											
The New York Botanical Garden	New York					*															
The Ohio State University	Ohio									*											
The Open Door	Massachusetts												*								
The Partnership for a Healthy Mississippi	Mississippi					*											*				
The Renaissance Charter School	New York																			*	
The School District of Philadelphia	Pennsylvania			*																	
The Star School	Arizona				*																
The Virginia School for the Deaf and the Blind	Virginia																		*		
This Old Farm, Inc	Indiana								*												

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
Tift County School System	Georgia							*													
Tucson Unified School District	Arizona										*										
Twin Rivers Unified School District	California															*					
Urban Roots and the Nevada Department of Agriculture	Nevada													*							
US Virgin Islands Department of Education	Virgin Islands of the U.S.									*											
Utah State Office of Education	Utah									*											
Ventura Unified School District	California	*																			
Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Food & Markets	Vermont	*												*							
VINTON-SHELLSBURG COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Iowa																			*	
Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services	Virginia									*											
Virginia Department of Education	Virginia																*				
Walla Walla Public School #140	Washington	*																			
Washington State Department of Agriculture	Washington	*								*							*				
Washington Sustainable Food & Farming Network	Washington								*												
Washoe County School District	Nevada							*													
Waterford School District	Michigan		*				*														
Watertown City School District	New York							*													
Waukee Community School District	Iowa																			*	

Name of Grantee	State	FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015				FY 2016				FY 2017				FY 2018		
		I	P	I	P	SS	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	SS	T	I	P	T
Wayne-Finger Lakes BOCES	New York											*									
Weld County Re-8 Schools	Colorado		*																		
Weld County School District 6	Colorado	*																			
West Fork Community School District	Iowa																		*		
West New York School District	New Jersey		*																		
West Virginia Department of Agriculture	West Virginia																		*		
West Virginia Department of Education	West Virginia								*					*							
Wildfish LLC dba/ Red's best	Massachusetts																		*		
Willamette Farm and Food Coalition	Oregon	*												*							
Winnebago County Health Department	Wisconsin					*															
Winona R-III School District	Missouri		*																		
Winrock International/Wallace Center	Arkansas													*							
Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade, and Consumer Protection	Wisconsin													*							
Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction	Wisconsin												*								
Wolsey-Wessington Public School District 2-6	South Dakota				*																
Working Landscapes	North Carolina								*												
Wyoming Department of Education	Wyoming								*										*		
Yolo County Department of Agriculture	California												*								

SS= Support Service Grant; I= Implementation Grant; P= Planning Grant; T= Training Grant